صریرخامہ
SAREER A KHAMA
TAFHEEM RESEARCH CENTRE
Category Y
ISSN Online: 3005-947X
ISSN Print: 3005-9461
Vol: 05, Issue 02 (April-June 2026)

Bail In Pakistan's Criminal Justice System: A Critical Analysis of its Legal Status, Judicial Discretion, and the Right to Liberty and Fair Trial

Junaid Akbar
Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan
rajajunaid2001@gmail.com

Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman
Teaching Associate, Department of Sociology, University of Karachi, Pakistan; and Visiting Faculty, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan
(tansif@live.com) (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5454-2150)

Abstract:
The concept that is very important in the criminal justice system because of its connection with liberty and the idea of fair trial is that of bail. The question of whether it should be made either a right or a privilege constitutes an equally vital issue in criminal law. This issue is primarily regulated by the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, in conjunction with constitutional guarantees of freedoms and fair process in Pakistan. In this paper an attempt is made to critically analyze the pertinent laws, interpretations and issues of bail in criminal proceedings. In addition to the above, the effects of delays in the process, judicial discretion, prison overcrowding, and public safety will be discussed.

Keywords: challenges, historical context, laws, opportunities, theoretical context

Introduction

Bail is regarded as one of the key principles of criminal justice because it directly protects personal freedom and presumption of innocence. The term bail refers to temporary release from custody of accused person under certain legal conditions pending the completion of the trial. Bail in the justice system has struck a balance between the rights of an individual and public interest in the preservation of order. The idea that every person accused of a crime is considered innocent until proven otherwise forms the very basis of bail jurisprudence.

The debate on whether to treat bail as a "right" or a "privilege" remains a significant question in criminal and constitutional cases. The bail advocates contend that unjust detention pending conviction violates constitutional protection regarding freedom and fair trial. On the other hand, for those who regard bail as a privilege, it is believed that courts must use judicial discretion taking into account the gravity of offenses and the presence of a security risk to the public. In regards to Bail laws in Pakistan, Code of Criminal Procedure of 1898 is followed while judicial decisions in case law of higher courts influence the decisions of Bail in criminal cases.

Research Justification

In the context of criminal cases, the bail matter is of great significance as it relates to the constitutional rights and freedom of the people, rights to fair trial, and rights to the administration of justice in Pakistan. The delay in criminal trials, overcrowding of prisons and uncertainty of court proceedings have been the subject of speculation over whether the bail should be considered a right or a privilege. The investigation was valuable and included a critical analysis of constitutional principles and judicial interpretations as well as legal provisions on bail and bail and non-bailable offences. The study also examines the impact of economic inequality, abuse of discretionary powers and delays in procedures on bail decisions, as part of recommendations for reforming the bail system to make it more equitable, transparent and accountable, and to protect human rights.

Literature Review

The concept of bail remained an important topic in criminal literature, as it has a direct impact on constitutional liberty, justice and criminal law (Shahid et al., 2025). Legal scholars normally define the bail as a legal mechanism to guarantee the appearance of suspects before the courts, so that they are protected from unnecessary prison sentences (Razzaq et al., 2025). The studies in Pakistan show that bail laws attempt to balance personal freedom with public interest in order to prevent crime and maintain public order (Mir & Bhateri, 2026). Many scientists stress that the principle of the "innocent until proven guilty" is the basis for modern bail jurisprudence, and that it therefore supports liberal bail policies in common criminal offences (Hassan & Baig, 2024).

There is substantial evidence in favor of the view that bail should be seen as constitutional and legal law especially in the case of bailable (Naseer, 2025). It is argued by the researchers that pre-trial detention is a violation of the dignity of the human being, constitutional freedom and the principles of fair trial. Criminal delay and prison overcrowding in Pakistan further make the case for bail stronger. The study reveals that poor and marginalized accused persons are mostly victims as they cannot regulate securities and have proper legal representation (Laghari et al., 2026). On

the other hand, many researchers state that bail cannot be viewed as an absolute right since the court must also protect society and provide proper justice (Abubakar et al., 2024).

Judicial discretion is particularly important in regard to serious crimes such as terrorism, murder, kidnapping, organized crime (Chattaraj, 2026). In which the release of accused persons could threaten witnesses, influence investigations or endanger public security (Bakhsh & Zarkoon, 2025). Where further investigations, weak evidence, or delayed testing are required and severe standards for cruel crimes are used, the Pakistani Supreme Courts have generally adopted a balanced approach to the granting bail (Tahir, 2026).

Historical Context

The concept of bail in Pakistan goes back to the legal regime of the colonial era which was introduced in the Indian subcontinent during the period of British colonization. With independence in 1947, Pakistan inherited the criminal justice system along with the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, which had detailed provisions with regard to arrest, detention and release of bail. During the colonial era the focus of the law was primarily on maintaining order and administration of the public affairs not on the protection of individual rights. Therefore, the role of judicial discretion has become a crucial one in the context of guarantee decisions, particularly in the case of serious criminal offenses.

Constitutional rights and guarantees of freedom and fair trial have played an important role in changing the rules of bail especially as enshrined in the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973. The principles of law laid down by the Pakistani Supreme Courts suggest that the denial of bail cannot be considered as punishment pending the sentencing.

Theoretical Context

Bail theory in Criminal Law is highly linked to freedom, equity, justice, and protection of society. In deontology, everyone accused of committing the crime must have rights, and such right will not be denied unless for a reasonable cause. The bail concept according to this theory acts as a means of ensuring constitutional rights and the principle that anyone is innocent until proven guilty.

On the other hand, utilitarianism seeks to strike a balance between freedom and security. It also allows for the discretion of the judicial power to set free an accused if they deem that to be in the best interests of the public, to protect witnesses and to facilitate investigations. The concept of the equality before the law and the protection from arbitrary detention has been emphasized in the theory of justice, particularly in the concept of justice of the John Rawls. In Pakistan, due to socio-economic inequality, these principles are of great significance in the sense of accessing collateral. Honesty, impartiality and integrity are also virtues emphasized in virtue ethics in criminal proceedings, when deciding a bail.

Relevant Laws

1. **The Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973**: Under the Constitution, the fundamental rights include access to life and freedom (Article 9) and a fair trial (Article 10-

A). These provisions of the constitution have a significant effect on judicial rulings on bail and protection against arbitrary arrest of accused persons.

2. **The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (CrPC):** The bail procedure is regulated in sections 496-502. The right to bail is provided under Section 496 in the context of "bailable offences," and Section 497 deals with non-bailable offences where the discretion of the court in the matter of such offences depends upon the nature and gravity of the charge**.**

3. **Pakistan Penal Code, 1860 (PPC):** The PPC defines crimes and punishments that are directly assigned to affect bail decisions. Serious offences such as murder, terrorism, kidnapping and alleged drug trafficking are generally linked to more stringent concerns over public security bail.

4. **Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997**: It imposes stricter conditions on the provision of guarantees in terrorism related crime which is related to national security and the risks of government policy.

5. **Juvenile Justice System Act, 2018:** This Act provides incentives to release juvenile offenders on bail to protect children from unnecessary detentions and deterioration of prison conditions.

Challenges

A major issue that is linked with bail in Pakistan is the irregularity of judges' decisions. In other cases of crimes, the ruling on bail may also be different, depending on how the law is interpreted and what evidence is provided. This inconsistency leads to uncertainty in criminal justice, thus weakening public confidence in the fairness of the court. Delayed criminal proceedings also pose serious problems, such as many accused persons remain imprisoned for years before their end. Prisoners who are put under trial take a long time while at the same time not guilty of criminal offences in various situations.

The disparity in the economy also influences the availability of bail in Pakistan. Rich defendants can make certainty, find competent lawyers and get them out of the jails, whereas poor ones remain in the jails because they can't afford what the court demands in order to get them released. The influence of politicians and corruption within the criminal justice system might also play a role in the determination of bail, and that can affect equality before the law and transparency within the courts.

One of the main problems is the problem of balancing freedom with safety. Courts shall ensure that bail is not granted for serious offences, including those of terrorism, murder, abduction and

organized crime, without making sure that there is no intimidation or manipulation of witnesses or the evidence, or repeat criminal activity.

Opportunities

One of the important opportunities is to enhance judicial training to promote uniformity and transparency in granting bail. A proper training can equip judges to better apply constitutional principles, judicial precedents and standards of fair test, and to determine bail applications of the both curable and non-bailable offences.

Rapid trial mechanisms and digital case management systems are also key opportunities. One reason for the overcrowding of prisons and the long-term detention of prisoners under trial is delayed criminal proceedings. The effective use of this technology can enhance the disposal of bail applications and criminal cases in a reasonable time. The enhancement of legal aid services also provides essential opportunity for people who can't afford to hire a private lawyer, such as accused persons with low income and marginalized groups, to access justice.

The judicial precedence in this regard that gives due emphasis to freedom and presumption of innocence is a good bedrock on which progressive reforms can be introduced in this type of bail in Pakistan. Enhancing citizens' understanding of their rights and the criminal justice process can also contribute to holding law enforcement and judicial officials accountable. In addition to protecting public security and ensuring proper administration of justice, reforms to prisons and alternative monitoring systems including electronic monitoring can help to limit unnecessary detention.

Discussion

Pakistan's criminal retention right reflects the complex balance between individual liberty and social protection. The legal framework generally distinguishes between bailable and non-bailable offences, providing bail as a right in small criminal cases, but still subject to judicial review in the event of serious criminal cases. Despite constitutional guarantees of freedom and fair trial, practical issues such as delayed trials, overcrowded prisons and inconsistent judicial interpretations have significant impact on the outcome of bail. Economic inequality has a much more influence on the access to bail as poorer accused persons often experiences problems protecting the release. This is the reason why the courts are vital in making sure that bail decisions are fair, consistent and in accordance with constitutional principles.

Conclusion

The debate about the question as to whether bail is a privilege or a privilege in criminal matters remains a central issue in the criminal justice system of Pakistan. Bail protects the constitutional principles of liberty, fair trial, and presumption of innocence, in particular in the bailable offences, where it acts as a law. However, in non-bailable offences courts exercise judicial discretion by considering the seriousness of accusations, public security and the risk of abuse of liberty, thereby making sure of bail a court privilege. Practical challenges such as delayed trials, prison overcrowding, economic inequality and inconsistent judicial still have an impact on fairness in bail decisions. The requirement for this is that proper legal and judicial reform be instituted.

Recommendations

The Pakistan bail system requires substantial reform to ensure an appropriate balance between individual liberty and social protection in criminal matters. First, the courts should develop uniform guidelines for bail for persons who are not in the bailable offences category in order to reduce inconsistencies and ensure that all accused are treated equally. Second, trial mechanisms should be strengthened so that prisoners under pretrial detention were not held for prolonged periods, which frequently became a sentence before sentencing. Thirdly, legal aid services should be expanded so that the poor and marginalized accused persons will have meaningful access to their right to bail. Fourthly, judicial officials must be trained on a continuous basis in matters of constitutional rights, human rights standards and modern practice of bail, in order to improve the quality of decision making.

Furthermore, corruption and political influence in criminal justice system have to be strictly controlled to maintain transparency. Finally, alternative measures should be undertaken, such as electronic monitoring and controlled release to reduce cases of unnecessary detention whilst ensuring public safety and justice.

Research Limitations

There are several limitations on the investigation into bail in criminal cases in Pakistan. First, the lack of transparency and consistency in reporting in the criminal justice system has restricted the availability of updated and reliable empirical data. Secondly, most of the existing publications are based on secondary sources that limit a direct, practical understanding of actual bail proceedings. Thirdly, the differences in the judicial interpretation of across different courts make it more difficult to guarantee a uniform way to generalize conclusions. Socio-political sensitivities surrounding criminal justice issues could affect accessibility to information. The cultural and economic diversity in the Pakistan makes the analysis of bail practices across different regions and categories of defendants even more difficult.

Research Implications

This study into the criminal cases deposit as a right or privilege has important implications for the criminal justice system of Pakistan®. It emphasizes the need for clearer legal guidance to ensure consistency in bail decisions of across different courts. The findings highlight the strengthening of constitutional guarantees of liberty, fair trial and presumption of innocence. It also proposed that policy-makers should pay attention to reduce the number of cases of pre-trial detentions through legal reform and improved case management systems. It further enhances the knowledge about the impact of socio-economic inequality and judicial discretion on the access to bailouts.

Future Research Directions

Future research on bail in criminal cases in Pakistan must focus on achieving a better balance between the fact that bail was both a legal right and a court privilege. Further empirical research will be needed to assess how judicial discretion is exercised in different courts and regions and whether this leads to inconsistency of outcomes of release on bail. The impact of socioeconomic inequality on the access to bail, especially on prisoners under the trial, must also be studied.

Moreover, comparative analysis with respect to other jurisdictions will aid in the identification of best practices for the reform of bail proceedings. The issue of technological use, legal aid, and speedy process mechanisms needs to be looked at by academia as well.

References

Abubakar, M., Thakur, M. H. I., & Waseem, M. (2024). Prisoners' rights: Pre-trial detention and the remedy of bail. *SSRN Electronic Journal.* https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4981259

Bakhsh, Y. R., & Zarkoon, S. M. (2025). Unlocking liberty: An analytical exploration of bail through judicial perspective in criminal law. *Pakistan's Multidisciplinary Journal for Arts & Science, 6,* 15–29. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17746461

Chattaraj, A. (2026). When bail becomes punishment: Pre-trial detention, constitutional liberty, and the failure of criminal justice in India. *International Journal of Human Rights Law Review, 5*(3), 259-279. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21735093

Hassan, A., & Baig, M. S. R. (2024). Navigating judicial precedents: A comprehensive analysis of the legal landscape governing bail in Pakistan. *Global Political Review, 9*(2), 45–56. https://doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2024(IX-II).05

Laghari, A. R., Kausar, S., & Jatoi, M. N. (2026). Analysis of criminal justice system of Pakistan and presumption of innocence. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review, 10*(1), 332–340. https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2026(10-I)30

Mir, M. A., & Bhateri. (2026). The judicial analysis of bail relating to special offences. *Indian Journal of Law, 4*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.36676/ijl.v4.i1.149

Naseer, G. (2025). The cost of injustice: Reforming Pakistan’s bail and pre-trial practices in context of legal fidelity and moral imperatives in law. *The International Law Scholars Journal,* 15–25. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5257616

Razzaq, M. U., Sial, A., A., & Raza, M. M. (2025). A analysis of the legal framework and common practices governing law of bail in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Criminal Justice, 3*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.62585/pjcj.v3i1.14

Shahid, M., Shaukat, R., Arslan, M. F., & Kanwal, T. (2025). Bail applications and writs petition as distinct genre of legalese: A comparative forensic analysis. *Journal of Asian Development Studies 14*(2), 250-268. https://doi.org/10.62345/jads.2025.14.2.22

Tahir, M. I. (2026). Illegal detention by police and violations of Section 167 Cr.P.C. in Pakistan. *Academia International Journal for Social Sciences, 5*(2), 447–456. https://doi.org/10.63056/academia.5.2.2026.2213