

Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

Chand Khan

Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan

Email: chandkambogh7869@gmail.com

Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman

Teaching Associate, Department of Sociology, University of Karachi, Pakistan; and
Visiting Faculty, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education,
Pakistan

Email: tansif@live.com / <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5454-2150>

Azeem Khan Kambogh

Advocate High Court, M.A. LLB

Email: azeemkhankambogh92@gmail.com

Abstract:

The paper gives a comparative example of conventional and Islamic family law, their principles, usage, and implications in modern societies. Family law, which forms a major pillar of social organization, regulates important elements of marriage, divorce, inheritance, and child custody. Conventional laws on family are mostly based on secular law systems that focus on individual rights, equality between the genders, and the changing social norms. However, Islamic family law based on Sharia is founded on religious values and moral duties and collective harmony and tends to focus on family cohesion and gender roles. This study shows areas of convergence, like the protection of the rights of children, and divergences, such as the ways of divorce and inheritance, by comparing and contrasting them. The paper also examines issues of balancing such systems in pluralistic societies. In a final conclusion, the paper highlights the importance of dialogue and contextualizing adaptation so as to strike the right balance between tradition, religion, and modern laws on governance of family issues.

Keywords: *challenges, historical context, laws, opportunities, theoretical context*

Introduction

The family law is the backbone of the social order as it controls the rights, duties, and responsibilities of people in the family set up (Salim & Wulandari, 2023). It deals with essential questions like marriage, divorce, inheritance, guardianship, and child custody that form a great part of the society fabric (Kamarudin & Shukor, 2024). Family laws all over the world are related to the culture, religion, and historical backgrounds of the countries, which have created varied systems of dealing with family life (Ishfaq et al., 2024). Out of these, the conventional (secular) family law and Islamic family law are two different yet powerful systems that are still dictating the lives of millions.

Conventional family law (which tends to be secular law) places more emphasis on individual freedom, equality between the genders, and changing social standards (Hakim, 2022). They are also described as flexible in nature, and as such, reforms can sustain changing expectations in society. Conversely, Islamic family law is founded on the Sharia, which is based on the Quran, Sunnah, and juristic interpretations. It focuses on religious duties, ethical behavior, and maintenance of family integrity (Berber & Blanc, 2024). Although it sustains the principles of justice and welfare, it also embodies the gender roles and the divine predestination (Khasanofa & Larasati, 2024).

A comparative analysis of these systems is necessary in order to find out the philosophical basis of the systems, places of convergence, and divergence (Aziz et al., 2023). The question of divorce proceedings, rules on inheritance, and the rights of women shows agreement and conflict between the two strategies (Shehu & Bomo, 2023). The problem of reconciling the principles of both systems is unique in terms of legal and social issues in plurilingual societies where both are present (Wafa et al., 2024).

Research Justification

A comparative study of conventional and Islamic family laws is an important field of research as it has legal, social, and cultural implications. Family law is not central to the regulation of personal relationships only, but also other wider values in society, including justice, equality, and moral responsibility. Living in the globalized world today, people and communities tend to travel between various legal frameworks, especially in societies in which secular and religious laws exist simultaneously. To foster fairness, reduce conflict, and have proper legal governance, it is therefore important to understand similarities and differences between these systems.

Secular influence on conventional family laws creates a focus on individual rights, equality of the genders, and the changing social norms, whereas the Islamic

family laws, which are based on Sharia, are focused on fulfilling the religious duties, family cohesiveness, and moral values. Divorce rights, distribution of inheritance, and the status of women are some of the issues that arise in this context, thus leading to debate. By conducting a comparative analysis, this research will give a well-rounded view because it will show the advantages and the drawbacks of both systems. The validity of the study can be explained by the fact that it can add to the scholarly discussion, inform the law reforms, and direct practitioners, scholars, and policymakers in managing the family law challenges in multicultural and pluralistic settings.

Research Objectives

1. To discuss the historical context of conventional and Islamic family laws.
2. To highlight the theoretical context of conventional and Islamic family laws.
3. To analyze conventional and Islamic family laws.
4. To identify the key challenges regarding the comparative analysis of conventional and Islamic family laws.
5. To explore the opportunities for comparative analysis of conventional and Islamic family laws.
6. To propose effective prevention and intervention strategies.

Research Methodology

This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba & Lwoga, 2020). Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008). The criteria for selection are listed.

1. **Relevance:** Researches that directly addressed the questions posed by this study are included.
2. **Quality:** Studies that meet a certain quality threshold (e.g., methodological rigor, bias risk) are included. Most of the research is from Scopus-indexed and Clarivate Analytics journals and reputed publishers.
3. **Recency:** Consideration of the publication date to ensure that the review reflects the most current evidence. Most of the studies are from the last three years.
4. **Language:** Only studies published in English are included.
5. **Data Completeness:** Previous studies must provide sufficient data on outcomes of interest for practical synthesis; this is also ensured in this research.

This study did not use primary data from human participants; therefore, no ethics clearance letter from the ethics committee was required.

Literature Review

The topic of family law has had a long time of academic interest because it determines the shape of social order and the manifestation of cultural and religious values (Hakim, 2022). There is a unanimous view among scholars that family law offers the basis on which marriage, divorce, inheritance, guardianship, and child custody can be regulated, but there is a significant difference in application between secular and religious settings (Khasanofa & Larasati, 2024). The conventional family law, which is mostly based on secular legal tradition, is based on the rights of an individual, equality before the law, and social justice (Ishfaq et al., 2024).

Scholars like Aziz et al. (2023) point out the flexibility and adaptability of conventional family laws as they react to the changing concept of gender equality, human rights, and social change. These legislations tend to embrace the liberty of contracts in marriage and fair division of assets during divorce (Wafa et al., 2024). According to Berber and Blanc (2024), Islamic family law is more concerned with moral duties, stability of the family, and continuation of religious values. Among the main aspects are special shares of inheritance, elaborate divorce regulations, and the allocation of gender roles (Maulinda et al., 2024).

According to Shehu and Bomo (2023), these laws, though very clear, can be interpreted as being rigid compared to secular systems. Comparative research, like that conducted, indicates both similarities, especially on child protection and protection of family welfare, but also major differences in the rights of women and the processes of divorce (Kamarudin & Shukor, 2024). Modern studies also analyze the difficulties of pluralistic societies, in which the two systems coexist, and it brings into question the legal pluralism, disagreement of laws, and reform (Salim & Wulandari, 2023). In general, the current body of literature emphasizes the significance of the comparison of conventional and Islamic family laws to define the common values and see the differences and development opportunities.

Historical Context of Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

Family law has been formed throughout history as a mirror of the social values, traditions, and belief systems (Ishfaq et al., 2024). Conventional (secular) family laws were developed mainly by the Roman law, canon law, and further Enlightenment ideas, focusing on the rights of individuals, relations between them in contracts, and gender equality (Berber & Blanc, 2024). In the course of time, Europe and other parts of the world witnessed reforms that resulted in codified practices that gave more emphasis to the personal independence and equal treatment of spouses as well as the interests of children (Hakim, 2022). According

to Shehu and Bomo (2023), laws were further influenced in the 20th century by the emergence of the human rights discourse to make them consistent with the international conventions on equality and justice.

The Islamic family law, in its turn, is based on the Quran, Sunnah, and juristic interpretations that were followed during the first several centuries of the Islam religion. It is also based on divine guidance, and it stresses ethical behavior, family affairs, and societal peace (Salim & Wulandari, 2023). The major principles that were developed to guarantee justice and maintain social order were those of fixed shares of inheritance, guardianship roles, and painstaking divorce procedures (Kamarudin & Shukor, 2024). Traditionally, these laws were used in Muslim cultures, as in Sharia courts, and subsequently modified by colonial and post-colonial legal reforms (Maulinda et al., 2024).

Theoretical Context of Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

The Comparative study of conventional and Islamic family laws is based on some theoretical frameworks that aid in elucidating the basis and the differences between the two. The liberal legal theory is somewhat influential in conventional family laws due to its focus on individual rights, freedom of contract, and equality before the law. In this sense, the institution of marriage will be considered as a contract between two independent people, and the legal instruments will be expected to change with the social evolution, movements, and gender equality, and the norms of human rights.

On the contrary, Islamic family law is premised on religious legal theory, especially Sharia, which is the Quran and Sunnah that have a divine origin. Ideally, it focuses on ethical responsibilities, religious responsibilities, and the maintenance of societal peace. Marriage is hence a contract as well as a divine union, and rights and obligations are prescribed by God. It is a framework that upholds the common good and family solidarity against complete individualism. The comparative legal theory offers the framework through which these systems can be viewed in juxtaposition, and thus, the scholars can study the points of intersection and points of divergence. It throws light on the conflict between the universality of human rights to cultural or religious relativism, which brings essential questions of justice, equality, and adaptation within the various legal traditions.

Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

- 1. Marriage Act 1949 (UK):** Regulations the formalities, age, and registration of marriage.
- 2. Hindu Marriage Act 1955 (India):** Regulates the validity of marriage and forbidden relationships.

- 3. Muslim Family Laws Ordinance 1961 (Pakistan):** Ritualizes Islamic marriage: offer/acceptance, mehr, witnesses, wali. Reconciliation and written notice talaq.
- 4. Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act 1937 (India):** Governs the marriage rules of Indian Muslims by Islamic rules.
- 5. Divorce, Dissolution and Separation Act 2020 (UK):** Allows no-fault divorce in the breakdown of marriage.
- 6. Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act 1939 (Pakistan & India):** The act permits judicial divorce (faskh) in Muslim women. Husbands are obliged to maintain their wives and children as long as they are still married and in iddah.
- 7. Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956 (India):** Legislation of child and spousal maintenance.
- 8. Guardian and Wards Act 1890 (Pakistan):** The act establishes the right and the responsibility of the guardian, in which child welfare is of the foremost importance.
- 9. The Indian Succession Act 1925 (India):** The Indian Succession Act regulates the succession of Christians, Parsis under the Special Marriage Act.
- 10. Inheritance (Provision for Family and Dependents) Act 1975 (UK):** Family claims to financial provision.
- 11. Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act 1937 (India):** Applies fixed Quranic shares of the inheritance.

Challenges for Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

- 1. Cultural Relativism/Universalism:** Conventional law tends to comply with the international human rights norms and focus on the equality of people, and Islamic laws focus on religious obligations and culture. Such tension creates the problems of whether reforms are to be conducted according to the international standards or to adhere to local traditions and faith-based regulations.
- 2. Interpretational Diversity:** In Islamic jurisprudence, there are various schools of thought (Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi, Hanbali) that interpret the family law differently. Such distinctions make it difficult to conduct comparative research, as Islamic law is never uniform but rather applied in a context.

3. Legal Pluralism: In most societies, both systems exist alongside each other, producing overlaps and contradictions. The issues of divorce, inheritance, and the rights of women are the most sensitive, where secular principles of equality, in most cases, have come into conflict with the religious prescriptions and result in social and legal conflicts.

4. Principles of Dissent: The secular constitutions, statutory reforms, and judicial interpretation provide the basis of conventional family laws, but the Islamic family law is based on divine provisions, namely the Quran and Sunnah. This comparison makes it hard to compare the two, as conventional law is very flexible and adaptable. In contrast, Islamic law is God-given and has always been viewed as being fixed.

Opportunities for Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Islamic Family Laws

1. Cross-Cultural Communication: Comparative research fosters intercultural communication and participation by decreasing misunderstandings of the Islamic family law and explaining how the law is used in context. It is helpful in the reforms based on the religious authenticity as well as current legal realities.

2. Harmonization in Pluralistic Societies: In these types of systems, where both systems coexist, comparative analysis reveals the points of convergence and divergence. It will help policymakers to develop hybrid approaches that will balance the traditional practices of a culture, religious values, and international human rights provisions.

3. Legal Innovation: The flexibility that the traditional law has to adapt to social norms can inspire the flexibility of the Islamic law in practice, and the ethical and communal nature of the Islamic family law could inform the secular jurisdiction in enhancing family values and unity.

4. Scholarly Contributions: A Comparative study broadens the field of jurisprudence by filling in the gaps in legal research. It will also be of good advice to the judges, legislators, and practitioners working with family law in various situations.

5. Weaknesses Common Ground: The two systems are based on different principles, but they all have the same goals, which are to provide protection to the family and provide justice, and to protect the well-being of children. The acknowledgment of these common objectives opens the possibilities of understanding and establishing positive changes.

Discussion

Comparative study of the conventional family laws and Islamic family laws contains the similarity of goals and the underlying differences. Both systems are aimed at the maintenance of family stability, protection of the welfare of children, and justice in personal relations. Nevertheless, the conventional family laws focus on secular values, equality, and flexibility to dynamic social values. In contrast, the Islamic family laws are based on sources of divinity, moral obligation, spiritual responsibility, and the unity of the family. Such differences are most noticeable in the rights to divorce, the allocation of inheritance, and gender roles, where secular laws tend to embrace equality. In contrast, Islamic law upholds assigned roles and responsibilities.

In spite of these differences, a comparative study provides a platform for dialogue and reform. It can affirm strong points in every system, which includes the flexibility of secular law and the moral basis of Islamic law, as well as promote moderate positions in pluralist societies. With the help of such analysis, there is an understanding, conflict is minimized, and ways are given to reconcile tradition and the needs of modern law.

Conclusion

The comparative discussion on the conventional and Islamic family laws shows that although the two systems are meant to ensure that family cohesiveness and foster justice, their principles and modes of operation differ greatly. In conventional laws, equality, independence, and flexibility are promoted, and in Islamic laws, emphasis is on moral responsibility and unity in the family, as the laws are founded on divine guidance. Such differences can bring problems in pluralistic societies, but there is also the opportunity to dialogue, reformation, and harmonization. This paper highlights the significance of a balance between tradition and modernity in effective and inclusive family law systems by recognizing certain common values and the specifics of each.

Recommendations

- 1. Codify Interpretations:** Interpretations of the Islamic family law should be codified in order to reduce the cross-school differences. Codification would also achieve uniformity, predictability in judgments, and minimize confusion among the communities and practitioners.
- 2. Cultivate a culture of Law dialogue:** This needs to be a continuous dialogue between secular and Islamic law experts to emphasize positive values, reduce conflicts, and encourage policymaking together.

3. Encourage Judicial Education: The judicial officers ought to be provided with the capacity of learning the dynamics of the two systems so that they can deliver fair decisions on cases that cut across the boundaries of the two legal systems.

4. Enhance Women's Rights: Family law reforms need to focus on women's empowerment through equal access to justice, financial security, and protection against discrimination without being culturally and religiously insensitive.

5. Encourage Slower Reform: Reforms must be gradual, contextual, and sensitive to local customs so that the communities accept reforms and implement them successfully.

6. Establish Community Awareness: Community awareness campaigns must be made to inform communities on rights and responsibilities in conventional and Islamic systems. It will enable people to make informed decisions without disparaging cultural diversity and religious diversity.

7. Promote Cross-Cultural Interaction: Comparative discussions and best practice exchanges of countries with alternative family law systems can help to promote mutual learning, respect, and adaptation of new reforms.

8. Strengthen Legal Pluralism: Structures have to be reinforced in order to permit both systems to coexist fairly. Legal pluralism would help eliminate marginalization of cultural or religious groups and promote uniformity of justice throughout the society.

9. Support Empirical Research: Empirical research should be promoted as a way of informing more effective changes, and this should be done by assessing the actual effects of the family laws on families, children, and communities.

10. Teaching of the Human Rights Standards: The two systems may be aligned to the international human rights conventions so as to balance cultural identities and enhance international standards of justice.

Research Limitations

This comparative analysis of conventional and Islamic family laws is limited in a number of ways. To begin with, the diversity of the two systems is far-reaching, and it limits generalization. The conventional family law in different countries is different and varies widely because of cultural, constitutional, and legislative diversities, whereas the interpretation of the Islamic family law among the schools of thought varies between Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi, and Hanbali. Second, the study will use mostly secondary sources, which might not reflect the actual implementation of the laws in the various jurisdictions. Third, there are certain concerns with bias and interpretation, because the religiously based laws are not

very violent and could be subject to multiple academic views. Also, both legal reforms are dynamic, thus it may not be possible to make a conclusion that encapsulates changes that are constantly being experienced. Lastly, the study has a weakness when it comes to the lack of field work, i.e., either interviews or case studies that would give more details about the lived experience of people living under such laws.

Research Implications

Research on conventional and Islamic family laws has several implications.

- 1. In case of Legal Scholarship:** No further information is given on the differences in the philosophical and structural differences between conventional and Islamic family law regimes, which makes the comparative legal study richer.
- 2. In Judicial Practice:** Helps Courts to solve cases with overlapping legal frameworks to enhance fairness and consistency in court decision-making.
- 3. To Human Rights Discourse:** Calls attention to the problem of universal rights and the religious commitments, preaching the necessity to balance the position and not to focus on the principles of religion in the name of ensuring personal freedom of human beings.
- 4. To Future Research:** Opens empirical research opportunities on the lived life experiences of families in both systems so that theory is tied with practical realities.
- 5. To Policymakers:** Provides an insight into how the established and the Islamic systems can be balanced in pluralistic societies in order to implement reforms that will not only consider the cultural traditions but also support justice and equality.

Future Research Directions

Future studies on comparative analysis of conventional and Islamic family laws should not be more theoretical than practical and context-based realities.

- 1. Balancing Values:** Future studies should find practical methods of balancing secular and religious values in achieving justice, inclusiveness, and stability.
- 2. Comparative Jurisdictions:** It is necessary to conduct cross-country analysis in order to understand how legal pluralism works in other cultural and political contexts.
- 3. Empirical Study:** Case studies, interviews, and even surveys may provide partial but some real-world data on how people live under conventional and Islamic family laws.

4. Globalization and Human Rights: Studies ought to examine the role of global expectations and human rights discourses in the reforms in the family law, especially on the rights of women, rights to inheritance, and on the rights of children to custody.

5. Interdisciplinary Approaches: An interdisciplinary perspective, combining law, Sociology, and religious studies viewpoints, will provide a holistic perspective.

References

- Aziz, M. A., Shahid, M., Muhammad, A., & Jamshaid, M. K. (2023). Custodial challenges: Islamic and Pakistani law in child custody matters. *Current Trends in Law and Society*, 3(1), 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.52131/ctls.2023.0301.0019>
- Berber, S., & Blanc, S. (2024). Intimate jurisprudence: Islamic family law between global human rights and French republican values. *Indonesian Journal of Islamic Law*, 7(2), 64-82. <https://doi.org/10.35719/2ke75t93>
- Egger, M., Higgins, J. P., & Smith, G. D. (Eds.). (2022). *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gan, J., Xie, L., Peng, G., Xie, J., Chen, Y., & Yu, Q. (2021). Systematic review on modification methods of dietary fiber. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 119, 106872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106872>
- Hakim, A. (2022). Annulment of marriage and khuluk in family law in muslim countries: A comparative study of Syria, Sudan, Turkey, and Indonesia. *Al Hurriyah: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 7(2), 192-203. <https://doi.org/10.30983/alhurriyah.v7i2.5561>
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research*, 13621688211001289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289>
- Ishfaq, M., Yasin, S., Riaz, M., & Riaz, K. (2024). Navigating legal pluralism: A comparative analysis of Islamic law and secular legal systems in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 1(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijsw.v1i2.16>
- Kamarudin, A., & Shukor, A. (2024). Child's custody after divorce: Harmonization between Islamic and civil laws in Malaysia. *Quantum Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 5(6), 318-329. <https://doi.org/10.55197/qjssh.v5i6.508>
- Khasanofa, A., & Larasati, S. (2024). Comparative analysis of inheritance rights of children with different religions from their heirs according to Islamic law and civil law. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 10(2), 825-834. <https://doi.org/10.29210/020243854>
- Komba, M. M. & Lwoga, E. T. (2020). Systematic review as a research method in library and information science. In P. Ngulube (Ed.), *Handbook of research on connecting research methods for information science research* (pp. 80-94). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1471-9.ch005>
-

- Maulinda, K. F., Purnama, L. W., Marelyno, M. A., Mutmainah, M., Salamah, S., Sa'diyah, S., Hidayat, F., & Yahya, A. M. (2024). Review of Islamic family law: Social implications and juridical implementation in the family context in Indonesia. *Demak Universal Journal of Islam and Sharia*, 2(3), 271–290. <https://doi.org/10.61455/deujis.v2i03.159>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., & Moher, D. (2021). Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 134, 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003>
- Pawson, R., Greenhalgh, T., Harvey, G., & Walshe, K. (2005). Realist review - A new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 10(1), 21–34. <https://doi.org/10.1258/1355819054308530>
- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887>
- Rahi, S. (2017). Research design and methods: A systematic review of research, sampling issues, and instruments development. *International Journal of Economics Management Sciences*, 6(2), 403. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000403>
- Salim, A., & Wulandari, S. (2023). Comparison of the rights and obligations of husband and wife in the compilation of Islamic law and Indonesian civil law. *Indonesian Journal of Islamic Law*, 6(1), 52–66. <https://doi.org/10.35719/ijil.v6i1.2015>
- Shehu, I. A., & Bomo, N. M. (2023). Comparative study between Islamic law and the Child Rights Act on the right of maintenance of a child born out of wedlock. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 7(9) 381–388. <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.70931>
- Victor, L. (2008). Systematic reviewing in the social sciences: Outcomes and explanation. *Enquire*, 1(1), 32–46. <https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/sociology/documents/enquire/volume-1-issue-1-victor.pdf>
- Wafa, Z., Izzuddin, A., & Rosidi, A. (2024). Age limit of marriage in Islamic family law: A comparative study between Morocco, Pakistan, Malaysia, and Indonesia. *Al-Bayyinah*, 8(1), 119–139.